

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE / United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/668,036	09/22/2003	Michael C. Kemery	NIKE.105811	4757
75	90 11/02/2004		EXAM	INER
Michael J. Gross SHOOK, HARDY & BACON L.L.P.			AMERSON, LORI BAKER	
One Kansas City Place			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1200 Main Stree Kansas City, M			3764	

DATE MAILED: 11/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summan	10/668,036	KEMERY, MICHAEL
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAN INC DATE of this communication and	L Amerson	3764
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day: ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. 8 133)
Status	,	
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 Se</u>	ptember 2003	
	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under Ex		
Disposition of Claims		
 4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) 11-22 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5 and 8-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 6,7 and 11 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 		
Application Papers		,
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 22 September 2003 is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection to the d Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	937 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign part a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application by documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te

Application/Control Number: 10/668,036

Art Unit: 3764

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Figures 7-9
has not been described in the "Brief Description of the Several View of the Drawings".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

- a. The claim(s) are narrative in form and replete with indefinite and functional or operational language. The structure which goes to make up the device must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device. The claim(s) must be in one sentence form only. Note the format of the claims in the patent(s) cited.
- b. Claims 10 and 21 objected to because of the following informalities: claim
 10, line 2, "like" should be deleted; claim 21, line1, "type" should be deleted.
 Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- c. Claims 1, 5 and 10, 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Stack. Stack discloses a platform (16) and a fulcrum (S).

Art Unit: 3764

Regarding the language, "for improving a user's balance having a selectively controllable degree of stability and configured for positioning on a surface", "generally orthogonal to the platform into…thru line 12" has not been given patentable weight because the recitation is purely functional in nature and does not recite any structure. As to claim 5, further comprising a lock (24, 26,28). Regarding the language, "each pivot member to secure a selected position of the respective pivot member on the bottom surface of the platform" has not been given patentable weight because the limitation "pivot member has not been positively recited in the independent claim. As to claims 10 and 13-14, the language has not been given patentable weight because the limitation "pivot member" has not been positively recited in the independent claim

d. Claims 1-5, 8, 10, and 13-14, as broadly claimed, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Naville. Naville discloses a platform (10) and a fulcrum (11) in Figure 1. Regarding the language, "for improving a user's balance having a selectively controllable degree of stability and configured for positioning on a surface", "generally orthogonal to the platform into...thru line 12" has not been given patentable weight because the recitation is purely functional in nature and does not recite any structure. As to claims 2-3, further comprising a track (fig. 3) having rails. The language has not been given patentable weight because the limitation "pivot member" has not been positively recited in the independent claim. As to claim 4, a cavity is formed in the bottom surface of the platform (fig. 4). Regarding the language, "with a major dimension extending

Application/Control Number: 10/668,036

Art Unit: 3764

parallel to the pair of rails such that the rails and upper mounting region of the pivot members are substantially disposed within the cavity" has not been given patentable weight because the recitation is purely functional in nature and does not recite any structure. As to claim 5, further comprising a lock (114-5). Regarding the language, "each pivot member to secure a selected position of the respective pivot member on the bottom surface of the platform" has not been given patentable weight because the limitation "pivot member has not been positively recited in the independent claim. As to claim 8, the platform having a pair of arcuate side edges (37) in figure 1 bridged on ends (26). As to claims 10 and 13-14, the language has not been given patentable weight because the limitation "pivot member" has not been positively recited in the independent claim.

3. Claims 6-7, and 9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claims 11-22 are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to L Amerson whose telephone number is (703) 306-5576. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri from 8-5 p.m. Interviews Tue. and Thur..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Justine Yu can be reached on 703-308-2675. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3764

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

L. Amerson